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#### Abstract

The effects of substituting Class C fly ash for a portion of the portland cement in both Class $S$ and Class $S(A E)$ concrete were studied. The percentage of substitution ranged from 25\% to 65\%. Multiple samples were made and tested for compressive strength at ages of 7 days to 6 months, rapid freeze-thaw durability, and resistance to deterioration due to the action of deicing chemicals. The same tests were conducted on control specimens using the same mix designs without fly ash to provide a comparison basis. The results indicate that, for nonair-entrained concrete, up to $65 \%$ of the portland cement can be replaced with Class C fly ash as produced locally with no severe adverse effect on those characteristics examined in this study. For air-entrained concrete, replacement of up to 25\% was found to have no adverse effects, and replacement of up to $65 \%$ adversely affected only the resistance to deicing chemicals.
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Fly ash is being used as a partial replacement for portland cement in a number of applications; however, few tests have been conducted using locally available Class C fly ash and aggregates meeting Arkansas Highway and Transportation Department (AHTD) Standard Specifications. The AHTD has successfully used fly ash in portland cement concrete base and in pressure grouting of portland cement concrete pavement; but has not attempted such use in structural concrete. Anticipating new regulations by the Federal Highway Administration which would require the use of fly ash in structural concrete, the AHTD must develop specifications which will allow substitution of fly ash for portland cement where possible.

The primary objective of this research was to determine the effects of substitution of various amounts of fly ash for portland cement in both air-entrained (AHTD Class S(AE)) and nonair-entrained concrete (AHTD Class S). AHTD Specifications for both of these classes call for a minimum of 6.5 sacks of cement per cubic yard, a maximum of 5.5 gallons of water per sack of cement, and require a minimum compressive strength of 3500 psi at 28 days. Three characteristics of concrete were studied: compressive strength, rapid freeze-thaw durability, and resistance to deicing chemicals.

Samples were made with substitution rates of $25 \%, 40 \%$, 50\%, and 65\% in nonair-entrained concrete (Class S) and 25\%, $50 \%$, and $65 \%$ in air-entrained concrete (Class S(AE)). The rates of substitution were calculated on an absolute volume basis. The weight of cement to be replaced was determined by multiplying the design weight by the percent replacement factor. The weight of fly ash to be used was determined by multiplying the weight of cement to be replaced by the specific gravity of the fly ash and dividing by the specific gravity of the cement. This provided a one-to-one replacement ratio. Control samples of both Class $S$ and Class $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{AE})$ without fly ash were made to provide a basis for comparison.

LITERATURE REVIEW

## Background

Fly ash is a by-product of burning pulverized coal in a furnace with the resulting heat being used to produce electricity. Coal contains clay minerals, feldspars, mica, quartz, pyrite, and other minerals in small quantities. during the combustion process, these components react to form new compounds, the major ones being silicon oxide, iron oxide, aluminum oxide, and calcium oxide. ASTM C618 distinguishes between Class $F$ and Class $C$ fly ash by the minimum required percentage of the sum of the silicon, iron, and aluminum oxides, and by the percent of loss on ignition. For a fly ash to be classed as Class $F$, the three oxides must make up at least $70 \%$ of the weight, and the loss on ignition is limited to a maximum of $12 \%$. A Class C fly ash requires only $50 \%$ of the three oxides and limits the loss on ignition to 6\%. The fly ash is collected from the smoke stack by electrostatic precipitators or by filtering through bag houses. The electrostatic precipitators are the most common method; however, some fly ashes have a high resistivity and cannot be successfully collected by this method. For these fly ashes, more commonly produced from western coal, the bag houses are used. Both methods achieve a removal efficiency of over 99\%.

Historically, much of the fly ash was allowed to escape into the air; however, various efforts to protect the environment have forced power companies to collect most of the fly ash and dispose of it in some ecologically sound manner. This resulted in large quantities of fly ash being produced, creating a disposal problem. To help solve the problem of disposal, engineers and others began looking for ways to use fly ash in various types of construction.

Initially, its use as a component in concrete was restricted to mass concrete, such as in dams, or as a partial replacement for some of the fine aggregate. Most of the fly ash used in the early work was Class $F$, produced by the burning of bituminous and anthracite coal which is mined in the Eastern United States. This type fly ash has a low calcium oxide ( CaO ) content, usually in the range of $3 \%$ to 10\%. The advantages when Class F fly ash is used in concrete have been well documented and the general technology is fairly well understood. Some of these advantages, as reported by Abdun-Nur ${ }^{1}$, are: reduced water requirement, improved workability, lowered heat of hydration, and reduced permeability. In addition, the time of set is somewhat retarded, which can be either a disadvantage or an advantage, depending on the type of construction. These advantages, along with improved water tightness and reduced costs, are also noted by J. S. Pierce ${ }^{2}$, citing extensive

[^0]research and use of both Class $F$ and Class C fly ash by the United States Bureau of Reclamation. In the last ten years, this agency has used fly ash in amounts of up to 35\% replacement in over one million cubic yards of both structural and mass concrete.

In recent years, the construction of new power generating plants and the increasing use of subbituminous and lignite coals from the Western United States has given rise to large quantities of Class $C$ fly ash being produced. In Arkansas, there are currently five coal-burning power plants which produce approximately 450,000 tons of fly ash each year, all of which is Class C. Class C fly ash has a much higher CaO content, usually in the $20 \%$ to $30 \%$ range. This high lime content gives Class C fly ash a significant cementitious property. ${ }^{3}$ Since Class C fly ash is a relatively new material, the technology for its use with portland cement has not been fully developed.

## Properties of Fly Ash

The chemical composition of fly ash depends on the type of coal being used and the nature and amount of any additives which may be used. Additives are sometimes used to provide for flame stabilization, corrosion protection of the combustion chamber, and to facilitate fly ash collection. The physical properties of fly ash depend primarily on the specific combustion process and the collection techniques. Generally, fly ash particles are spherical and range in diameter from 1 to 150 microns. ${ }^{4}$ Some
of the spherical particles are hollow. It is the spherical shape which is generally accepted as providing the increased workability of fly ash concrete.

Since fly ash is a by-product, it is commonly thought of as being a highly variable, random material. However, Demirel and Pitt ${ }^{5}$ report that the fly ash produced by three different plants in Iowa had a variability of major components similar to that of portland cement and significantly less variability of minor components. A review of mill test reports of fly ash produced by four units in Arkansas over a period of 2 years indicates that the fly ash produced by these plants has a variability of major components essentially the same as that of portland cement. This should not be surprising when viewed in terms of efficient operation of a power plant. Maximum efficiency in the operation of a power plant requires a high degree of consistency in the fuel being used, the combustion temperature, and the fly ash collection method. This leads inevitably to consistency in the waste products produced. A major change in any of these factors could certainly result in a significant change in the fly ash produced; however, such major changes are not likely to occur on a frequent basis. Constant monitoring of operational processes and timely testing of the fly ash would provide the data necessary to adjust mix designs to accommodate these changes when they occur. Such adjustment would be based on the results of comprehensive tests of the new fly ash. The
percent replacement allowable and the amount of airentraining agent required would be the main concerns. With some fly ashes, replacement with a greater ratio than one-to-one could be required to produce a satisfactory mix.

The use of fly ash in air-entrained concrete usually causes a significant increase in the amount of air entraining agent (AEA) required to obtain a specific air content. It is generally agreed that the AEA demand is closely related to the carbon content of the fly ash, particularly when the agent is a neutralized Vinsol resin. 6-10 In addition, the fineness of the fly ash has also been found to influence the AEA demand. 11-12

## Chapter Three

TEST METHODS AND MATERIALS USED

## General Test Plan

Two complete cycles of tests were conducted. The first cycle consisted of a control mix (AHTD Standard Class S Concrete) and one mix each with $25 \%, 40 \%, 50 \%$, and $65 \%$ fly ash content. All of the first cycle mixes were nonairentrained. The second cycle consisted of a control mix (AHTD Class S), one mix of AHTD Class $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{AE})$, and one mix each of the Class $S(A E)$ with $25 \%$, $50 \%$, and $65 \%$ fly ash content.

The percent fly ash content is the percentage of portland cement replaced with fly ash, computed on an absolute volume basis. The quantities of aggregates were held constant and the water and AEA quantities were adjusted to produce the desired slump and air content. Figures 1 and 2 show the mix designs for Class $S$ and Class $S(A E)$ concrete, respectively, using the AHTD standard method of absolute volume. The quantities shown for a 1.2 cubic foot batch were the quantities used to prepare the control samples without fly ash. The same quantities of aggregates were used for the fly ash concrete samples, and the appropriate percent of cement was replaced with fly ash. The water was adjusted in order to hold the slump constant for all of the samples. This reduction in water content did reduce the yield slightly, but this minor effect was ignored.

ARYANSAS STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT CONCRETE MIX DESIGN

109 NO. Special Date_g-24-84_MixNo. S-1..
AGGREGATE DATA - BASED ON HEAT DRY CONDITION

| Material | Sour | Spectific Gravity | Dey Rod Weight | $\begin{aligned} & 62.4 \text { Lbs } \\ & \times \quad \text { So. Gr } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Solid Val. Yabsorp ger C.F. of Ags. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Coarse..Agg_ West Fork $2.70 \ldots 100.6$ 181168.48... 1010.597_... 0.63.
Fly ash Chen-Ash_-........65 (Assumed)

Yield per sack ceaent $=27.0$ c.f. / (E)_6.5_(F)_4.154.6.f.
2. Design mix on basis of (G) 4. Sgallons water per sack cenent.

3. Design mix on basis of (l)_o_mentined air.
(1) $\qquad$ $\% \times(F)$ $\qquad$ - (J) $\qquad$ c.f. volune of air.
4. Cenent $0.478+(H) 0.602+(1) 0$ $=(K) 1.080$ c.f. nortar paste.
5. (F) $4.154-(K) \underline{1.080}=(L)$ 3.074 c.f. solid volune of coarse fine agg.

7. Solid volume fine ag9. $\quad$ (L) 3.074-(N). 1.835_(0) 1.2396.f.


10. Wt. of coarse ago. for a b.s_sact batch = 6.5. $\times(P), 309.16=2009.516 s$.
 mIXING WATER COMPUTATION

0.63 : absorp. coarse ag9. $\times(P) 309.16: 1.95$ lbs. water added.
$0.38 \%$ absorp. fine ago. $\quad$ ( $01203.33=0.77$ lbs. water added.
Potal water added $\quad=(R)=40.2416 s$.
For 1.2 c.f. batch: Ceaent $=116.5 \times 94.011271 \times 1.2=27.116 \mathrm{~s}$.
Coarse Agg. $=12009.5 / 271 \times 1.2 \times 1.008=90.01 \mathrm{bs}$.
Fine Agq. $=(1321.6 / 27) \times 1.2 \times 1.030=60.51 \mathrm{bs}$.

Figure 1. Mix Design for Class S Concrete.


1. Design $n i x$ on basis of (E) b. S sacks ceaent per cubic yard.

Yield per sack ceaent 27.0 6.f. $/(E)$ 6.5_(F) 4.154_c.f.
2. Design nix on basis of (G) 4.5gallons water per sack cenent.
(6) 4.5 gal. water $/ 7.48$. (H) 0.602 c.f. voluae of water.
3. Design nix on basis of (i) 6.0 \% entrained air.
(I) 6.0 \% $\times(F)$ 4.154. (J) 0.249 c.f. volune of air.
4. Cenent 0.478 (H) 0.602 (J) 0.249 (K) 1.329.6.f. mortar paste.
5. (F) 4.154-(K) $1.329=(L) 2.825$ c.f. solid volune of coarse fine agg.
6. Solid volune coarse aqq. $=(L)$ 2.825 $\times(0)$ 0.597 $=(N)$ 1.687_c.f.
7. Solid volume fine agg. : (L) 2.825-(N) L.687-101 1.138.6.f.
8. Heat dry wt. coarse agq. = (N) 1.687. $\times(8)$ 168.48 = (P) 284.231bs.


11. Wt. of fine ago for a b. 5sack baten = b.5 $\times 101$ 186.76 = 1213.9.165. MIXING WATER COMPUTATION


## Sources and Tests of Materials

The coarse aggregate was crushed limestone obtained from an AHTD-tested stockpile at the Arkhola Sand and Gravel Company's ready-mix concrete plant in Springdale, Arkansas. This material came from the McClinton-Anchor Company quarry at West Fork. The fine aggregate was obtained from an AHTD-tested stockpile at the same plant, and is Arkansas River sand produced by Arkhola at Van Buren, Arkansas.

AHTD personnel routinely test samples from these stockpiles on a regular basis for compliance with their specifications. The data for specific gravity, absorption, and dry rodded weight used in the mix designs were obtained from their test reports.

The cement (Blue Circle Type I) was obtained from AHTD certified stock at the Tune Concrete Products Company's plant in Fayetteville, Arkansas. The fly ash was obtained from Chem-Ash Corporation. Copies of the mill test reports for both cement and fly ash are included in Appendix A.

The air entraining agent used was a neutralized Vinsol resin produced by Master Builders Division of Martin Marietta Corporation, which is one of several on the AHTD approved list.

## Mix Designs

The mix designs were prepared for Class $S$ and Class $S(A E)$ using the data for specific gravity, dry rodded weight, and percent absorption determined by AHTD personnel for the aggregates used. The required weights of fly ash
for the various percentages were calculated using an assumed specific gravity for the fly ash of 2.65 . This value was assumed because the mill test report on the fly ash was not made available until after both cycles of samples had been made. The actual specific gravity was 2.56 , a difference of 3.5\%. This minor error had the effect of increasing the absolute volume of fly ash in the mixes by less than $0.3 \%$, which is considered negligible.

Sieve analyses were run on the aggregates shortly after they were delivered to verify compliance with AHTD standard specifications. The moisture content of the aggregates was determined and batch weights were calculated for a 1.2 cubic foot batch. The aggregates were weighed at that time for all planned batches in one-batch quantities and stored in plastic bags to maintain the moisture content.

## Casting of Specimens

The test specimens for the first cycle were cast on September 8, 1984. Five mixes were prepared: one control mix (AHTD Standard Class $S$ concrete), and one mix each with 25\%, 40\%, 50\%, and 65\% fly ash content. Three batches were prepared of each mix, using the pre-weighed aggregates and measuring the cement, fly ash, and water by weight for each batch. Table 1 lists the as-batched data for the first cycle and the specific specimens made from each batch.

| Batch | Cement | Fly ash | Water | Slump | Number |  | ¢imens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Label | Lbs. | Lbs. | Lbs | In. | Cyls. | F/T | Deicing |
|  | No Fly ash: |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 514 | 27.1 | 0 | 11.6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 518 | 27.1 | 0 | 9.1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| SIC | 27.1 | 0 | 9.3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 25\% Fly ashi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S25B | 20.3 | 5.7 | 9.1 | 1-3/4 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 525C | 20.3 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 40\% Fly ash: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S40A | 16.3 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 2-1/4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| S40B | 16.3 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 2-3/4 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 540 C | 16.3 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 2-1/2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S50日 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 8.6 | 2-3/4 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| S50C | 13.6 | 11.4 | 7.9 | 2-1/2 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 65\% Fly ash: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S65A | 9.5 | 14.8 | 9.1 | 3-3/4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 565日 | 9.5 | 14.8 | 6.6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| S65C | 9.5 | 14.8 | 8.0 | 2-1/4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |

All batches contained 90.0 Lbs : Coarse Aggregate and 60.5 Lbs Fine Aggregate, including moisture.

Table 2. Second cycle batches and spectimens.

| Batch <br> Label | Cement $\mathrm{Lbs}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fly ash } \\ & \text { Lbs } \end{aligned}$ | Water Lbs | Slump <br> 1 n . | $\begin{array}{r} A E A \\ m 1 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Air | $\begin{aligned} & \text { content } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-2 | 81.3 | 0 | $\frac{\mathrm{No} \mathrm{Fl}}{31.0}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{ash} \mathrm{t}}{4}$ | 0 |  | - |
| SAEI | 81.3 | 0 | $\frac{\text { No F1 }}{29.0}$ | $\frac{\text { Ashi }}{3-1 / 4}$ | 60 |  | 5.5 |
| SAE25 | 60.9 | 17.1 | $\frac{25 \% ~}{28.0}$ | $\frac{\text { ash: }}{4}$ | 80 |  | 7.5 |
| SAESO | 41). 8 | 34.2 | $\frac{50 \%}{23.0}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{ash} 1}{3-1 / 2}$ | 80 |  | 7.0 |
| SAE65 | 28.5 | 44.4 | $\frac{65 \% \mathrm{Fl}}{20.0}$ | $\frac{a s h i}{2}$ | 70 |  | 4.3 |
| Aggre first Coars inclu | e weig ycle. Aggrega $90.8 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ts for } \\ & 11 \text { other } \\ & \text { e, and } 1 \\ & \text { and } 3.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | tch 52 batches <br> 6.8 Lb <br> moistur | ere trí contain of Fi <br> , respe | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \mathrm{th} \\ 248 \\ \text { Aggr } \\ \text { tivel } \end{array}$ |  | the of |

While testing proceeded on the first cycle, several small trial batches were made in an attempt to determine the quantity of air entraining agent needed to obtain the planned 6\% air content.

The test specimens for the second cycle were cast on January 12, 1985. Five mixes were prepared: one control mix (AHTD Class S), one AHTD Standard Class S(AE), and one each of the Class $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{AE})$ mix with $25 \%, 50 \%$, and $65 \%$ fly ash content. For this cycle, a larger mixer was used that allowed preparation of a larger batch so that only one 4.2 c.f. batch was required for each mix. This was done in an attempt to provide better control over the slump and air content, since the results of the trial batches and the experience gained from the first cycle indicated that it was difficult to obtain consistently good results with small batches. Table 2 lists the as-batched data for the second cycle. A total of 12 cylinders, 3 freeze-thaw, and 4 deicing chemical test specimens were made from each batch.

The compressive strength test cylinders were standard 6 inch diameter by 12 inch height, cast in single-use plastic molds meeting the requirements of ASTM C470. The specimens for rapid freeze-thaw durability testing were $3^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime} \times 14$ " prisms, cast in shop built $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ plywood forms. The specimens for deicing chemical resistance testing were $6-1 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11-1 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ prisms, cast in $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ plywood forms. The plywood was treated to be nonabsorbant and nonreactive with the concrete mixes. All specimens were prepared in
accordance with ASTM C192, removed from the molds after 24 hours, and cured in a moist room meeting the requirements of ASTM C511 for the length of time required by the specific tests involved.

## Curing and Testing of Specimens

Compressive strength test cylinders were removed from the curing room, capped with a sulfur compound, and tested at ages of 7 days, 28 days, 3 months, and 6 months. Three cylinders were tested in accordance with ASTM C39 at each age for each mix, with the exception of two cases where individual cylinders were damaged after casting and prior to testing. These are noted in the discussion.

The specimens for the rapid freeze-thaw testing were removed from the curing room at the age of 20 days, packed in moist sawdust, and transported to the AHTD laboratory in Little Rock. The curing period of 20 days before testing was selected instead of the 14 days recommended by ASTM C666 in order to assure a reasonable strength. Most of the literature indicated that fly ash concrete gains strength slowly for the first 28 days, and the decision to wait 20 days was made before casting began. The compressive strength tests indicated that this additional waiting may not have been needed; however, these results were not available until too late to change the procedure. They were stored in a freezer at $0^{0} F$ until testing by procedure $A$ of ASTM C666 began. Three specimens were made and tested from each mix.

The specimens for the deicing chemical resistance testing were finished with a medium-stiff bristle brush. At the age of 14 days, they were removed from the curing room and stored in air for an additional 14 days at $73^{0}+/-30^{0}$ and $45 \%$ to $55 \%$ relative humidity, at which time testing in accordance with ASTM C672 began.

During the air curing period, formica strips approximately 3 inches wide were cemented to the sides of the specimens using standard contact cement, and caulked with silicone rubber sealant. The formica extended approximately $3 / 4$ inch above the top surface of the specimens, providing the solution retention dam. This procedure, not outlined in the ASTM test method, was used in order to reduce the size of the specimen required to obtain the minimum of 72 square inches of surface. A total of four specimens were made from each mix. Two of each mix were tested using sodium chloride ( NaCl ) solution, and two were tested using calcium chloride ( CaCl ) solution.

All of the specimens were marked with an identification mark. This mark corresponded to the batch from which the samples were made, and consisted of either the letter $S$ or the letters SAE followed by a number and another letter. The letter $S$ was used for all nonair-entrained samples, while SAE identified the air-entrained samples. The numbers "1" and "2" marked the control samples which contained no fly ash, and the other numbers ( $25,40,50$, and 65) designated the percent cement replaced with fly ash. The
last letter used on the samples in the first cycle designated the specific batch from which they were made. For the second cycle, since all samples of a particular mix were made from the same batch, the final letter in the identification mark was arbitrarily assigned.

## Chapter Four

## TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Compressive Strength

A total of twelve standard cylinders were prepared from each mix in each cycle - three each for the four test ages. One cylinder of the $65 \%$ mix in the first cycle and one of the 50\% mix of the second cycle were damaged in handling between casting and testing; therefore, the average results for these two mixes for one age reflect the average of only two cylinders instead of three. Figure 3 is a graph of the average strengths of each of the mixes.

For the first cycle, which consisted of all nonairentrained concrete, all of the mixes showed an increase in compressive strength with time, as was expected. The lowest result was the 4100 psi at 7 days for one of the standard Class S (without fly ash) cylinders, and the highest was the 9270 psi at 6 months for one of the $50 \%$ fly ash cylinders. All of the fly ash mixes had a higher compressive strength than the non-fly ash mix at all ages except 6 months, when only the 65\% mix had a higher strength.

The second cycle consisted of one standard Class $S$ mix, without fly ash and without air-entrainment; one standard Class S(AE) mix without fly ash, but with air-entrainment; and one each of the Class $S(A E)$ mix with $25 \%$, $50 \%$, and 65\% fly ash content. The curing and testing of these specimens proceeded normally through the 3 month age; however, between the 3 month tests and the 6 month tests, the curing room was

not monitored as closely as it had been for all the previous tests, and the humidity dropped below $75 \%$ for most of this period. This is probably the cause of the unexpectedly small increase, and in some cases a decrease, in strengths between 3 and 6 months. All of the mixes did show an increase in strength with curing time up to the 3 month age. The strengths at 7 days and at 28 days were lower than expected; this is probably due to the fact that the temperature of the casting room where the cylinders were kept before they were stripped and placed in the curing room was approximately $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. This low temperature slowed the strength gain so much that the samples had to be allowed to remain in the forms for 48 hours before they could be stripped.

The plain concrete had the highest strengths at all ages. The non-fly ash, air-entrained concrete had a higher strength at 7 and 28 days than the fly ash concretes; but was lower than all except the $25 \%$ fly ash at 3 and 6 months. The lower strength of the $25 \%$ fly ash is probably due to the much higher air content. In all cases except that of the 25\% fly ash concrete, the strength at 28 days was in excess of the 3500 psi minimum required by the AHTD specifications. The compressive strengths of all the cylinders are listed in Appendix $B$.

In summary, for nonair-entrained concrete, replacement of up to $65 \%$ of the cement with fly ash produced higher strengths at all ages except 6 months. For air-entrained concrete, replacement of cement with fly ash produced lower strengths at 7 and 28 days, but higher strengths at 3 and 6 months, except for the $25 \%$ samples, which had an excessive air content.

## Deicing Chemical Resistance

Four specimens were made from each mix in each cycle for the deicing chemical resistance tests. Two were tested with a solution of sodium chloride ( NaCl ) and two with calcium chloride (CaC1). Both solutions were used at a strength of 4 grams per 100 milliliters. After the specified curing period, a sufficient quantity of the solution was placed on the samples to cover the surface to a depth of approximately $1 / 4$ inch and they were then placed in a freezer at $0^{0} \mathrm{~F}$ for a period of 16 to 18 hours. After this period, they were removed from the freezer and stored in air at approximately $73^{0} \mathrm{~F}$ for 6 to 8 hours, completing one cycle. This cycle was repeated throughout the test, with solution being added as necessary to maintain the $1 / 4$ inch depth on the surface. At the end of every fifth cycle the specimens were rinsed with clear water and the surfaces were rated in accordance with the following scale (ASTM C672):

0 - No Scaling
1 - Very Slight Scaling (1/8 inch depth, max, no coarse aggregate visible)

2 - Slight to Moderate Scaling
3 - Moderate Scaling (some coarse aggregate visible)
4 - Moderate to Severe Scaling
5 - Severe Scaling (coarse aggregate visible over entire surface)

The record of the ratings is given in Appendix $C$.

Figure 4 is a graph of the number of cycles to which the specimens were subjected until a rating of 5 was given, or the test was stopped due to other deterioration of the sample. Several of the nonair-entrained specimens, after several cycles, began leaking the solution through the sample and the edges began to crumble. When this deterioration reached the point at which the solution could not be maintained at $1 / 4$ inch depth, the test was stopped.

The general results, as indicated on the graph in Figure 4, show that fly ash does have a slightly adverse effect on the resistance of nonair-entrained concrete to deicing chemicals, with this adverse effect generally increasing with increasing fly ash percentage. This decrease in deicing chemical resistance is so small that it can be considered negligible.

For air-entrained concrete, the $25 \%$ fly ash specimens showed no significant difference in deicing chemical resistance when compared to plain air-entrained concrete; however, the 50\% fly ash samples deteriorated more rapidly than the $25 \%$, and the $65 \%$ fly ash samples deteriorated approximately as rapidly as all of the nonair-entrained samples. The different amounts of entrained air in the various mixes could perhaps account for some of this effect, but not all of it.

FIGURE 4. DEICING CHEMICAL RESISTANCE.

In summary, fly ash in amounts greater than $25 \%$ reduces the concrete's resistance to deicing chemicals for air-entrained concrete, but has a negligible effect on nonair-entrained concrete.

## Freeze thaw durability

The resistance of the specimens to rapid freezing and thawing was tested by Procedure A of ASTM C666. This test involves surrounding the specimens with approximately $1 / 8$ inch of water and placing them in a device that reduces their temperature to $0^{0} \mathrm{~F}$ then raises it to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in approximately three hours. At intervals of not more than 36 cycles, the fundamental transverse frequency of each specimen is determined by the procedures in ASTM C215, and compared to the frequency determined before testing began. The relative dynamic modulus of elasticity $\left(P_{C}\right)$ is computed from the following formula:

$$
P_{C}=\left(n_{1}^{2} / n^{2}\right) \times 100
$$

where $n_{1}=$ fundamental transverse frequency after c cycles of freezing and thawing.
and $n=$ fundamental transverse frequency at the beginning of the test.

Higher values for $P_{C}$ indicate greater resistance to the action of freezing and thawing for the concrete being tested.

As expected, the nonair-entrained specimens deteriorated rapidly when subjected to the rapid freeze-thaw durability testing. None of them lasted more than 100 cycles before the deterioration became so severe that further testing was impossible. In fact, all except the 65\% could no longer be tested after 67 cycles. However, the use of fly ash did increase the durability of the concrete, with
higher percentages of fly ash yielding greater durability, except for the $25 \%$ mix, which was only slightly lower.

The air-entrained specimens proved to be very durable when subjected to freeze-thaw testing. After 308 cycles, there was no difference in the relative dynamic modulus of elasticity $\left(P_{C}\right)$ between the non-fly ash and the fly ash samples. The ASTM standard calls for ending the test at 300 cycles; however, these were continued for more than double that number in an attempt to find a significant difference between the different mixes, if one existed. After 577 cycles, the difference in the averages of $P_{C}$ was less than 10 percentage points, and all had a value of 93 or greater. Even after nearly 700 cycles, the difference was still less than 10 percentage points, and all had a value of 90 or greater. The results of the tests are shown in Appendix $D$.

In summary, the use of Class $C$ fly ash as a partial replacement for portland cement was found to have no significant effect on the resistance of air-entrained concrete to rapid freezing and thawing. There was, however, some increase in durability for nonair-entrained concrete with increasing percentage of fly ash content, except for the $25 \%$ samples.

## Chapter Five

## CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitations of the test procedures and for the materials used in this investigation, the following conclusions are made.

1. For nonair-entrained Class $S$ concrete, Class $C$ fly ash as produced locally can be substituted for portland cement in amounts up to $65 \%$ with no significant adverse effects, and with some significant benefits. 2. For air-entrained Class $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{AE})$ concrete, Class C fly ash can be substituted for portland cement in amounts up to $25 \%$ with no adverse effects, and higher amounts of up to $65 \%$ can be used if resistance to deicing chemicals is not important for the specific intended use of the concrete.
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APPENDIX A

Mill tests of cement and fly ash

BLUE CIRCLE INC.
TEST DATA ON CERTIFIED CEMENT

## PHYSICAL TESTS

Setting Time (Gilmore) Initial - Hr._2_Min. 58 Final - Hr. 5 Min. 01

Soundness Autoclave Exp. $\qquad$ .043 \%

Fineness Blaine $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{gm} \quad 3439$
\%Air - $\qquad$ COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TESTS

3 days $\qquad$ psi

7 days $\qquad$ 4306 psi

## CHEMICAL TESTS

1. Silicon Dioxide 21.2 웅
2. Aluminum Oxide 5.0 8
3. Ferric Oxide 2.3 \%
4. Magnesium Oxide 2.3 \%
5. Sulfur Trioxide 3.0 $\%$
6. Insoluble Residue 0.2 웅
7. Loss on Ignition 1.2 웅
8. Calcium Oxide 64.6 웅
9. Tricalcium Silicate 57.1 옹
10. Dicalcium Silicate 17.6 \%
11. Tricalcium Aluminate $\qquad$ 9.4 웅
(Blue Circle Mill Analysis No. 210.)

Chemical and Physical Analyses of Fly Ash

| Silicon Oxide ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) 31.5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Aluminum Oxide ( $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) $\quad 20.0$ |  |
| Iron Oxide $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \quad 6.62$ |  |
| TOTAL $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}+\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ | 58.1 |
| Sulfur Trioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ ) | 1.80 |
| Calcium Oxide ( CaO ) | 25.2 |
| Magnesium Oxide (MgO) | 4.61 |
| Moisture Content | 0.0553 |
| Loss on Ignition | 0.263 |
| Available Alkalies as $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (28 days) | 0.568 |
| ( 7 days) | 0.0434 |
| PHYSICAL TEST RESULTS: |  |
| Fineness - Retained on \#325 Sieve (\%) | 13.7 |
| Pozzolanic Activity Index with Portland Cement @ 7 days: Ratio to control <br> (\%) | 63.1 |
| psi | 2013 |
| Pozzolanic Activity Index with Portland Cement @ 28 days: <br> Ratio to control <br> (\%) | 118.6 |
| psi | 4996 |
| Water Requirement, \% of control | 83.2 |
| Soundness - Autoclave Expansion (\%) | 0.113 |
| Drying Shrinkage - Increase @ 28 days (\%) | -0.53 |
| Specific Gravity | 2.56 |

## APPENDIX B

Compressive strength of test cylinders
COMPRESSIUE STRENGTHS - FIRST CYCLE


* This cylinder was damaged - not tested.
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTHS - SECOND CYCLE

* This cylinder was damaged - not tested.


## APPENDIX C

## Deicing Chemical Resistance Test Results

DEICING CHEMICAL RESISTANCE TEST RESULTS - FIRST CYCLE

DEICING CHEMICAL RESISTANCE TEST RESULTS - SECOND CYCLE

DEICING CHEMICAL RESISTANCE TEST RESULTS - SECOND CYCLE


## APPENDIX D

Rapid Freeze-Thaw Test Results
RAPID FREEZE-THAW TEST RESULTS - FIRST CYCLE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Cycles } \end{aligned}$ | Freq. $(h z)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pc* } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Freq. (hz) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pc } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Freq. <br> (hz) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pc } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample | S1A | Sample | S1B | Sample | S1C |  |
| 0 | 2500 | 100 | 2530 | 100 | 2447 | 100 | Began test October 3, 1984. |
| 35 | 1339 | 29 | 1861 | 54 | 1658 | 46 |  |
| 67 | 383 | 2 | 1189 | 22 | 919 | 14 | All samples cracking. |
| 92 | D** | - | 719 | 8 | 1182 | 23 |  |
| 109 | D | - | D | - | D | - | Ended test October 22, 1984. |
|  | Sample | S25A | Sample | S25日 | Sample | S25C |  |
| 0 | 2375 | 100 | 2487 | 100 | 2618 | 100 | Began test October 3, 1984. |
| 35 | 1064 | 20 | 1281 | 27 | 1404 | 29 |  |
| 67 | 484 | 4 | 434 | 3 | 864 | 11 | Ald samples cracking. |
| 92 | D | - | D | - | D | - | Ended test October 19, 1984. |
|  | Sample | S40A | Sample | S40日 | Sample | S40C |  |
| 0 | 2411 | 100 | 2580 | 100 | 2523 | 100 | Began test October 3, 1984. |
| 35 | 1584 | 43 | 1832 | 50 | 1742 | 48 |  |
| 67 | 775 | 10 | 928 | 13 | 799 | 10 | All samples cracking. |
| 92 | D | - | D | - | D | - | Ended test October 19, 1984. |

*Pc = Relative dynamic modulus of elasticity. **D = Sample disintegrated; no test possible.
RAPID FREEZE-THAW TEST RESULTS - FIRST CYCLE

| No. Cycles | Freq. (hz) | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Pc} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Freq. $(h z)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pc} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Freq. (hz) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pc} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample | S50A | Sample | S50日 | Sample | S50C |  |
| 0 | 2467 | 100 | 2470 | 100 | 2451 | 100 | Began test October 3, 1984. |
| 35 | 1815 | 54 | 2077 | 71 | 2058 | 71 |  |
| 67 | 777 | 10 | 855 | 12 | 890 | 13 | All samples cracking. |
| 92 | D | - | D | - | D | - | Ended test Jctober 19, 1984. |
|  | Sample | S65A | Sample | S65B | Sample | 565C |  |
| 0 | 2313 | 100 | 2432 | 100 | 2463 | 100 | Began test October 3, 1984. |
| 35 | 2330 | 101 | 2299 | 89 | 2360 | 92 |  |
| 67 | 2252 | 95 | 1882 | 60 | 1832 | 55 |  |
| 92 | 2255 | 95 | 1483 | 37 | 902 | 13 | All samples cracking. |
| 109 | D | - | D | - | 0 | - | Ended test October 22, 1984. |

RAPID FREEZE-THAW TEST RESULTS - SECOND CYCLE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Na. } \\ & \text { Cycles } \end{aligned}$ | Sampl <br> Freq. <br> (hz) | $\begin{gathered} \frac{s 2 A}{} \\ \hline P_{C *} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sample S2B <br> Freq. Pc <br> (hz) $\%$ |  | Sample S2C (No Air, No Fly Ash) <br> Freq. Pc  <br> (hz) $\%$ Remarks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2345 | 100 | 2394 | 100 | 2309 | 100 | Began test February 12, 1985. |
| 22 | 2296 | 96 | 2460 | 106 | 2191 | 90 |  |
| 49 | 2265 | 93 | 2279 | 91 | 2163 | 88 |  |
| 68 | 2268 | 94 | 2084 | 76 | 1924 | 69 |  |
| 88 | 1727 | 54 | 1942 | 66 | 1137 | 24 |  |
| 114 | 1353 | 33 | 1356 | 32 | 1164 | 25 |  |
| 134 | 818 | 12 | 776 | 11 | 776 | 11 |  |
| 159 | D | - | D | - | D | - | Ended test March 8, 1985. |

*Pc = Relative dynamic modulus of elasticity.
**D $=$ Sample disintegrated; no test passible.

| No. Cycles | RAPID FREEZE-THAW TEST RESULTS - SECOND CYCLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample SAE1A |  | Sample SAE1B |  | Sample SAEIC (Air entrained, No Fly Ash) |  |  |
|  | Freq. (hz) | $\mathrm{Pc}$ $\%$ | Freq. $(h z)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PC}_{\mathrm{C}} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Freq. (hz) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| 0 | 2412 | 100 | 2297 | 100 | 2307 | 100 | Began test February 12, 1985. |
| 22 | 2049 | 72 | 2335 | 103 | 2310 | 100 |  |
| 49 | 2226 | 85 | 2341 | 104 | 2354 | 104 |  |
| 68 | 2352 | 95 | 2457 | 114 | 2363 | 105 |  |
| 88 | 2414 | 100 | 2459 | 115 | 2506 | 118 |  |
| 114 | 2292 | 90 | 2393 | 109 | 2344 | 103 |  |
| 134 | 2390 | 98 | 2397 | 109 | 2463 | 114 |  |
| 159 | 2290 | 90 | 2383 | 108 | 2444 | 112 |  |
| 179 | 2333 | 94 | 2362 | 106 | 2375 | 106 | Slight scaling on all samples. |
| 207 | 2255 | 87 | 2355 | 105 | 2277 | 97 |  |
| 227 | 2298 | 91 | 2360 | 106 | 2395 | 108 |  |
| 257 | 2248 | 87 | 2326 | 103 | 2349 | 104 |  |
| 279 | 2264 | 88 | 2385 | 108 | 2328 | 102 |  |
| 308 | 2280 | 89 | 2350 | 105 | 2305 | 100 | Average after 30日 cycles = 98. |
| 328 | 2274 | 89 | 2340 | 104 | 2314 | 101 |  |
| 355 | 2241 | 86 | 2360 | 106 | 2315 | 101 |  |
| 375 | 2272 | 89 | 2329 | 103 | 2354 | 104 |  |
| 402 | 2274 | 89 | 2332 | 103 | 2349 | 104 |  |
| 422 | 2230 | 85 | 2322 | 102 | 2341 | 103 |  |
| 446 | 2127 | 78 | 2388 | 108 | 2292 | 99 |  |
| 465 | 2230 | 85 | 2332 | 103 | 2296 | 99 |  |
| 488 | 2283 | 90 | 2368 | 106 | 2307 | 100 |  |
| 506 | 2287 | 90 | 2417 | 111 | 2340 | 103 |  |
| 526 | 2259 | 88 | 2398 | 109 | 2284 | 98 |  |
| 551 | 2233 | 86 | 2348 | 104 | 2320 | 101 |  |
| 577 | 2303 | 91 | 2343 | 104 | 2308 | 100 |  |
| 699 | 2250 | 87 | 2495 | 118 | 2309 | 100 | 5/31/85; Avg. after 699 cycles |


| No. Cycles | Sample SAE25A |  | Sample SAE25日 |  | Sample SAE25C (Air Entrained; 25\% Fly Ash) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. $(h z)$ | $\mathrm{Pc}$ | Freq. $(h z)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pc} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Freq. (hz) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pc} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| 0 | 2217 | 100 | 2178 | 100 | 2122 | 100 | Began test February 12, 1985. |
| 22 | 2342 | 112 | 2173 | 100 | 2175 | 105 |  |
| 49 | 2136 | 93 | 2185 | 101 | 1859 | 77 |  |
| 68 | 1931 | 76 | 2155 | 98 | 1859 | 77 |  |
| 88 | 2230 | 101 | 2178 | 100 | 2116 | 99 |  |
| 114 | 2138 | 93 | 2169 | 99 | 2101 | 98 |  |
| 134 | 2177 | 96 | 2175 | 100 | 2220 | 109 |  |
| 159 | 2183 | 97 | 2182 | 100 | 2106 | 98 | Slight scaling on all samples. |
| 179 | 2154 | 94 | 2145 | 97 | 2140 | 102 |  |
| 207 | 2110 | 91 | 2133 | 96 | 2170 | 105 |  |
| 227 | 2170 | 96 | 2158 | 98 | 2159 | 104 |  |
| 257 | 2137 | 93 | 2180 | 100 | 2129 | 101 |  |
| 279 | 2217 | 100 | 2127 | 95 | 2160 | 104 |  |
| 308 | 2170 | 96 | 2132 | 96 | 2125 | 100 | Average after 308 cycles $=97$. |
| 328 | 2177 | 96 | 2128 | 95 | 2130 | - 101 |  |
| 355 | 2129 | 92 | 2112 | 94 | 2153 | 103 |  |
| 375 | 2110 | 91 | 2121 | 95 | 2106 | 98 |  |
| 402 | 2086 | 89 | 2182 | 100 | 2115 | 99 |  |
| 422 | 2079 | 88 | 2147 | 97 | 2096 | 98 |  |
| 446 | 2087 | 89 | 2088 | 92 | 2086 | 97 |  |
| 465 | 2098 | 90 | 2099 | 93 | 2129 | 101 |  |
| 488 | 2094 | 89 | 2109 | 94 | 2139 | 102 |  |
| 506 | 2106 | 90 | 2165 | 99 | 2132 | 101 |  |
| 526 | 2105 | 90 | 2170 | 99 | 2082 | 96 |  |
| 551 | 2127 | 92 | 2128 | 95 | 2136 | 101 |  |
| 577. | 2085 | 88 | 2116 | 94 | 2080 | 96 |  |
| 699 | 1973 | 79 | 2145 | 97 | 2068 | 95 | 5/31/85; Avg. after 699 cycles |


| No. Cycles | Sample SAE50A |  | Sample SAE50日 |  | Sample SAESOC (Air Entrained; 50\% Fly Ash) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freq. $(\mathrm{hz})$ | $\begin{gathered} P c \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Freq. $(h z)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pr} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Freq. $(h z)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pr} \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| 0 | 2227 | 100 | 2233 | 100 | 2324 | 100 | Began test February 12, 1985. |
| 22 | 2217 | 99 | 2216 | 98 | 2271 | 95 |  |
| 49 | 2323 | 109 | 2300 | 106 | 2426 | 109 |  |
| 68 | 2379 | 114 | 2289 | 105 | 2553 | 121 |  |
| 88 | 2070 | 86 | 2225 | 99 | 2372 | 104 |  |
| 114 | 2264 | 103 | 2211 | 98 | 2275 | 96 |  |
| 134 | 2257 | 103 | 2234 | 100 | 2298 | 98 |  |
| 159 | 2265 | 103 | 2236 | 100 | 2366 | 104 |  |
| 179 | 2330 | 109 | 2223 | 99 | 2355 | 103 | Slight scaling on all samples. |
| 207 | 2290 | 106 | 2217 | 99 | 2339 | 101 |  |
| 227 | 2372 | 113 | 2274 | 104 | 2365 | 104 |  |
| 257 | 2325 | 109 | 2333 | 109 | 2363 | 103 |  |
| 279 | 2409 | 117 | 2218 | 99 | 2323 | 100 |  |
| 308 | 2272 | 104 | 2220 | 99 | 2274 | 96 | Average after 308 cycles $=100$ |
| 328 | 2241 | 101 | 2201 | 97 | 2260 | 95 |  |
| 355 | 2227 | 100 | 2167 | 94 | 2246 | 93 |  |
| 375 | 2233 | 101 | 2180 | 95 | 2260 | 95 |  |
| 402 | 2229 | 100 | 2220 | 99 | 2152 | 86 |  |
| 422 | 2230 | 100 | 2188 | 96 | 2284 | 97 |  |
| 446 | 2207 | 98 | 2183 | 96 | 2264 | 95 |  |
| 465 | 2227 | 100 | 2166 | 94 | 2275 | 96 |  |
| 488 | 2227 | 100 | 2180 | 95 | 2255 | 94 |  |
| 506 | 2282 | 105 | 2040 | 83 | 2275 | 96 |  |
| 526 | 2173 | 95 | 2067 | 86 | 2295 | 98 |  |
| 551 | 2183 | 96 | 2173 | 95 | 2250 | 94 |  |
| 577 | 2208 | 98 | 2176 | 95 | 2248 | 94 |  |
| 699 | 2199 | 98 | 2040 | 83 | 2293 | 97 | 5/31/85; Avg. after 699 cycles |


| No. Cycles | Sample SAE65A |  | RAPID FREEZE-THAW TEST RESULTS - SECDND CYCLE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sample SAE65B |  | Sample SAE65C (Air Entrained; 65\% Fly Ash) |  |  |
|  | Freq. (hz) | $\mathrm{Pc}$ $\%$ | Freq. <br> (hz) | $\begin{aligned} & P c \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Freq. (hz) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pc } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| 1) | 2413 | 100 | 2422 | 100 | 2359 | 100 | Began test February 12, 1985. |
| 22 | 2481 | 106 | 2498 | 106 | 2360 | 100 |  |
| 49 | 2462 | 104 | 2211 | 83 | 2448 | 108 |  |
| 68 | 2456 | 104 | 2263 | 87 | 2353 | 99 |  |
| 88 | 2451 | 104 | 2286 | 89 | 2412 | 105 |  |
| 114 | 2409 | 100 | 2486 | 105 | 2361 | 100 |  |
| 134 | 2436 | 102 | 2477 | 105 | 2359 | 100 |  |
| 159 | 2445 | 103 | 2435 | 101 | 2387 | 102 |  |
| 179 | 2440 | 102 | 2285 | 89 | 2385 | 102 | Slight scaling on all samples. |
| 207 | 2430 | 101 | 2444 | 102 | 2339 | 98 |  |
| 227 | 2395 | 99 | 2433 | 101 | 2317 | 96 |  |
| 257 | 2412 | 100 | 2478 | 105 | 2380 | 102 |  |
| 279 | 2400 | 99 | 2437 | 101 | 2342 | 99 |  |
| 308 | 2375 | 97 | 2405 | 99 | 2330 | 98 | Average after 308 cycles $=98$. |
| 328 | 2388 | 98 | 2452 | 102 | 2308 | 96 |  |
| 355 | 2378 | 97 | 2418 | 100 | 2298 | 95 |  |
| 375 | 2419 | 100 | 2450 | 102 | 2320 | 97 |  |
| 402 | 2397 | 99 | 2459 | 103 | 2327 | 97 |  |
| 422 | 2393 | 98 | 2411 | 99 | 2312 | 96 |  |
| 446 | 2356 | 95 | 2372 | 96 | 2296 | 95 |  |
| 465 | 2363 | 96 | 2382 | 97 | 2304 | 95 |  |
| 488 | 2375 | 97 | 2387 | 97 | 2316 | 96 |  |
| 506 | 2386 | 98 | 2377 | 96 | 2356 | 100 |  |
| 526 | 2355 | 95 | 2398 | 98 | 2280 | 93 |  |
| 551 | 2341 | 94 | 2364 | 95 | 2286 | 94 |  |
| 577 | 2369 | 96 | 2368 | 96 | 2278 | 93 |  |
| 699 | 2363 | 96 | 2345 | 94 | 2251 | 91 | 5/31/85; Avg. after 699 cycles |


[^0]:    *Superscript numbers refer to entries in the bibliography.

